

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/729,195	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHAN, KING WAI	
	<b>Examiner</b> John K. Ford	<b>Art Unit</b> 3753	

**All Participants:**

(1) John K. Ford.

(2) Mr. Brad Close.

**Status of Application:** post election

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 May 2005

**Time:** voice mail

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*none*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The last amendment received March 17, 2005 was not signed by Mr. Close, an omission that was not caught by the clerical staff. Mr. Close and the undersigned agreed that Mr. Close would send in a properly signed amendment to replace the unsigned one in lieu of the Examiner sending out another notice of non-responsiveness to correct for a signature deficiency. .